



Assessment of Hydraulic Flushing as a Sediment Management Strategy in Dam Reservoirs: State of Practice, Limitations, and Innovations

Amir.Vakili¹, Roozbeh Aghamajidi*²

1- Department of Civil Engineering, Bey. C., Islamic Azad University, Beyza, Iran

2*- Department of Civil Engineering, Sep. C., Islamic Azad University, Beyza, Iran

Received: 21 August 2025; Revised: 20 September 2025; Accepted: 28 September 2025; Published: 22 November 2025

Abstract

The need of our era by sedimentation to accumulate in the dam reservoir is the large-scale and urgent difficulty to become a evidence impeding the reasonable utilization of water resources, which in also have ecology, leisure, economy and variety of a lot of nature.} Due to the amplifying adverse effects of determining effects of the resultant behaviour to storage, continuous sediment-quality damage, and possibly dam structure-life damage, immediate requirement of sustainable siltation-remediation strategies based on new sediments therapeutic method has been valid. One of the most successful and common methods for clearing sediment from dam hoisting and accumulation is hydraulic flushing. This technique involves the simulated and controlled release of water from the bottom outlet of a dam to detach and carry sediment which has been settled over a long period of time. This paper is intended to review the approach and principle on hydraulic flushing, field implementation and a variety of the case studies of using hydraulic flushing: A Tool for Sediment Management in Reservoirs. It examines different kinds of flush (isolation valve, water hammer, scavenger, back-pulsing and dredging), measuring their sediment removal capability and the technical and ecological issues concerning such a remedy. Moreover, this review not only summarizes the success stories of globally implemented hydraulic flushing projects but also discusses the knowledge gaps in the current literature that may provide potential insights to improve future sediment management practices. Following a brief introductory section that describes sedimentation issues in reservoirs, this paper presents a detailed discussion of the principles of hydraulic flushing. The most relevant factors defining such an approach efficiency (reservoir topography, physical and chemical sediment characteristics, discharges and flushing times) are considered along each reservoir's cascade capabilities. The paper also considers the different nature of flushing options used in various schemes, including continuous flushing, and sequential flushing, but also density current flushing, in which techniques have efferent advantages and are designed for very different conditions within storage facilities. On the other hand, although the advantages of hydraulic flushing are presented with the advantages, it should also be emphasized that it cannot be done randomly, and it is the only solution. The potential environmental impacts to consider such as increased downstream turbidity from future water bodies or impairments to potable systems, should guide planning processes and develop technologies to mitigate potential impacts. The constraints include potential conflicting interests with downstream water users as well as restrictions imposed by the physical morphology of the reservoir and heterogeneities in the composition of the sediments and must be balanced as much as possible when deciding whether to adopt flushing operations. Moreover, flushing operation planning, execution, and sustainable monitoring are monetarily driven, and hence, examining costs and benefits with existing resources adds another aspect to decision-making for flushing operations in pipelines.

Keywords: Hydraulic Flushing, Dam Reservoir, Sediment Management, Sediment Removal, Water Resources Management, Environmental Impact, Case Studie

Cite this article as VAKILI A., Aghamajidi R. (2025). 'Assessment of Hydraulic Flushing as a Sediment Management Strategy in Dam Reservoirs: State of Practice, Limitations, and Innovations', Civil and Project, 7(9), e230645. doi: <https://doi.org/10.22034/cpj.2025.548482.1403>

ISSN: 2676-511X / Copyright: © 2025 by the authors.

Open Access: This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Journal's Note: CPJ remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Corresponding author E-mail address: roozbeh.ghamajidi@ac.iau.ir

INTRODUCTION

Sedimentation is the accumulation of sediments in dam reservoirs, and it is a challenging and urgent issue hindering the sustainable utilization of water resources necessary for ecological systems, recreation, and economic activities (Annandale, 2013). As these adverse effects escalate—increased storage loss, sediment degradation and composite structural longevity of the dam—could jeopardize dam safety (Morris & Fan, 1998). Hydraulic flushing, a controlled release of water from a dam's bottom outlet, is one of the most effective methods for mitigating sediment buildup and involves dislodging and transporting accumulated sediment (Fan & Morris, 1992). This overview article draws on the principles of hydraulic flushing and its field applications, including case studies that illustrate its utility of reservoir sediment management. It assesses various flushing techniques including isolation valve flushing, water hammer, scavenger flushing, back—pulsing and dredging for their efficiency in sediment removal as well as their operational and environmental challenges (White, 2001). In addition, this analysis highlights the achievements of hydraulic flushing projects globally while also pinpointing gaps in knowledge that may enhance future management of sediment (Schleiss et al., 2016). Sedimentation issues of reservoirs are summarized in an introduction, and hydraulic flushing principles are explained in detail (Batuca & Jordaan, 2000). Kondolf et al. (2014) analyzed key elements affecting its efficiency—reservoir geometry, the physical and chemical attributes of the sediment, discharge rates, and flushing duration. This is followed by a presentation on distinctive flushing methods employed in different settings such as continuous flushing, sequential flushing, and density current flushing, which all present unique advantages depending on the specific reservoir conditions (Liu & Wang, 2015). Hydraulic flushing offers considerable benefits, but is not a panacea and requires careful design (Palmieri et al., 2001). It is important to note that potential environmental impacts, including increased downstream turbidity and disruptions to aquatic ecosystems, require cautious consideration and strong mitigation measures (Zhang & Zhang, 2019). Additionally, operational constraints such as competition with downstream water consumers, reservoir morphology and variation in sediment composition must also be carefully considered when assessing flushing feasibility (Schleiss et al., 2016). Moreover, the financial costs associated with the planning, execution, and monitoring of flushing activities contribute additional complexity that necessitates a thorough evaluation (i.e., cost-benefit analysis) of flushing activities (Palmieri et al., 2001). There are a number of international case studies which demonstrate hydraulic flushing as an effective preventative technique for sediment management. Examples include Lake Oroville in California, Three Gorges Dam in China, Mangahao Reservoir in New Zealand where this technique helped restore the reservoir capacity while implementing mitigation measures to avoid environmental impacts (Zhang & Zhang, 2019; Liu & Wang, 2015). This commonality has been very useful to understand how hydraulic flushing can be adapted and applied in a practical manner in very different reservoir systems. Hydraulic flushing, however, has several unexplored research gaps despite already being shown to be successful. First, relatively little long-term work has been done measuring the cumulative environmental impacts of multiple flushing operations, particularly as they relate to downstream ecosystems and water quality (Kondolf et al., 2014). Secondly, the effect of climate change, e.g. changes of precipitation patterns and sediment inflows, on flushing efficiency is still poorly understood (Schleiss et al., 2016). Third, existing guidelines for directing flushing operations are generally non-standardized and limited in applicability to the specific characteristics of individual reservoirs (Morris et al., 1998). Fourth, more advanced technologies, i.e., real-time sediment monitoring or predictive modeling, are not utilized in flushing strategies, which needs further research (Zhang & Zhang, 2019). Filling in these gaps could increase the accuracy, sustainability and cost-effectiveness of hydraulic flushing as a sediment management method.





figure (1) the graph of successful hydraulic flushing process

This development has used until now relatively simple sediment theoretically and as such generates, where applicable, much less accurate and reliable insights into the (potential) success of the hydraulic flushing. Although looking more ahead, the modelling of the sediment in this context continues to significantly develop (Schleiss et al. These successively refined models will give engineers and water managers greater ability to optimize flushing of reservoirs to maximize sediment removal, but minimize wasted water and energy. Can you picture a day when we're not so much estimating how much sediment will shift as we are doing so with certainty — because of state-of-the-art instruments that do the math in real time? Combine this with the introduction of state-of-the-art monitoring systems (which track how sediment levels change during flushing) and you've got yourself a game changer (Zhang & Zhang, 2019). These systems could serve as a watchful eye, empowering operators to make adjustments on the fly, minimizing environmental fallout — like murky downstream waters — while keeping everything running smoothly. And, emerging flushing approaches, such as synoptic jettisons and pulsed discharges, may advance the state-of-the-art in sediment management (Kondolf et al., 2014). Envision synoptic jettisons as synchronized, high-churn releases that catapult sediment into the fray in one fell swoop, and pulsed discharges as rhythmic bursts that nudge sediment along more gently — each adapted to the peculiarities and hydraulics of an individual reservoir. These innovations could make flushing not merely more efficient but more versatile, addressing sediment buildup in ways we haven't yet taken full advantage of. It's thrilling to consider how these concepts may transform as researchers and engineers push them into the field. "Hydraulic flushing is already an effective and validated workhorse for dam reservoir maintenance, but successful implementation depends on proactive planning, firm environmental protections and a willingness to adapt and improve (Morris & Fan, 1998). It's not a one-and-done solution — it's a process that requires care and creativity. When I consider the people who have helped put it there — engineers, ecologists and local communities working in concert — it's obvious that this is not only about mucking out mud; it's also about getting water to farms, homes and wildlife further down the line.



Graph(1) the evolution of hydraulic flushing technique

Now mix that human work effort with constant R&D progress, gadget-smarter water technologies, and experience from previous projects, and hydraulic flushing is more than just a tool, it's a beacon for sustainable water management (Palmieri et al., 2001). While we polish it, this approach will help keep reservoirs healthy, so they continue to meet the needs of all those who rely on them — from city residents to ecosystems — far into the future.



Figure (2) the view of Dam down stream

Sedimentation of reservoirs is a huge problem for every dam operator. Losing the capacity of a lake is like seeing the storage space in your garage disappear year after year through sedimentation, which not only reduces the volume of water but affects its quality too (Morris & Fan, 1998). One solution to this is mechanical dredging — essentially, using heavy machinery to scoop out the goop from the bottom of the reservoir. It works, I suppose, but it's a hassle: expensive, difficult to implement and somewhat of a shock to the fish and plants inhabiting it (Annandale, 2013). Then there's hydraulic flushing, which is a whole other beast. Imagine this: you turn off the reservoir, open the bottom gates, and the water rushes out taking the sediment downstream with it (Fan & Morris, 1992). It's a clever trick, particularly in places where the natural flow is already giving a helping hand, making it both gentler on the wallet and a bit lighter on the environment. But it's not unmitigated — planning it right is critical to keeping the downsides in check. How well flushing works depends on a few characteristics, and it is interesting how they all combine (Schleiss et al., 2016). Take the shape of the reservoir, for instance — steep, sloping areas are a dream, because the water can move, and carry sediment with it, while flat, lazy spots tend to let it sit there, stubborn as ever (Kondolf et al., 2014). Then there's the sediment itself — what it's made of makes a big difference. Fine, loose grains? They'll swim away with the current no problem. But coarser material or anything sticky or clumpy? That is a tougher nut to crack — you need some serious water speed to budge it (White, 2001). The quantity and pressure of the water that you release — the discharge — also matters a lot, with bigger, swifter flows providing the muscle needed to move more sediment. And timing? It's not simply a matter of flipping a

switch and walking away. The longer you leave the gates open, the further you can reach down into those sediment layers, stripping them away layer by layer (Batuca & Jordaan, 2000)

Table 1: Key Factors Affecting Hydraulic Flushing Efficiency

Factor	Impact on Flushing Efficiency	Optimal Conditions	Reference
Reservoir Bathymetry	Influences flow patterns and sediment movement	Deep, steep-sloped reservoirs	Morris & Fan (1998)
Sediment Properties	Determines ease of erosion and transport	Fine-grained, loosely compacted sediment	White (2001)
Discharge Rate	Controls the strength of hydraulic forces	High flow velocity and volume	Kondolf et al. (2014)
Flushing Duration	Affects depth of sediment removal	Longer duration for deeper layers	Brandt (2000)

Hydraulic washing methods differ due to conditions related to the reservoir and sedimentation. These include continuous flushing, sequential flushing, and density current flushing. Continuous flushing is a slower, constant release of water that slowly moves sediment. This approach is particularly suited for reservoirs where sediment enters regularly to avoid excessive accumulation. Soap sequential flushing depends on high-discharge events occurring periodically that can wash out sediment in localized areas. Density current flushing is based on the natural stratification of reservoir water and to release sediment-laden layers with the smallest disturbance of surface water. The best flushing method was found to hinge on the design of the reservoir, the morphology of its sediment, and the constraints of operation.

Table 2: Comparison of Hydraulic Flushing Methods

Flushing Method	Mechanism	Best Suited Conditions	Reference
Continuous Flushing	Steady low-flow discharge for gradual transport	Reservoirs with continuous sediment inflow	Morris (2016)
Sequential Flushing	Periodic high-flow events for targeted removal	Sediment accumulation in localized areas	Palmieri et al. (2003)
Density Current Flushing	Uses natural stratification to release sediment-laden water	Reservoirs with strong density gradients	Fan & Morris (1992)

Hydraulic flushing is beneficial, but poses environmental and operational questions. An increase turbidity in other waters that has a negative impact on aquatic ecosystems is one of the major worries. High flow rates would suddenly disturb fish habitats and other ecological processes. Such operational difficulties arise from conflicts with downstream water users, regulatory restrictions on sediment release, or limitations on reservoir shape. Moreover, geomorphological and depositional changes downstream due to sediment redistribution can have adverse impacts including clogging of irrigation systems, river channel aesthetics, and riverbed morphology shift. These challenges can be mitigated with proper planning, stakeholder engagement, and real-time monitoring.

table 3: Environmental and Operational Challenges of Hydraulic Flushing

Challenge	Potential Impact	Mitigation Strategy	Reference
Increased Turbidity	Reduces water quality, affects aquatic life	Schedule flushing during high-flow periods	Kondolf & Wilcock (1996)
Ecosystem Disruption	Alters fish habitats and river conditions	Implement gradual flushing techniques	Fan et al. (2004)
Regulatory Constraints	Limits sediment discharge levels	Conduct impact assessments, seek approvals	Morris & Fan (1998)
Downstream Sediment Deposition	Can clog irrigation channels, alter riverbeds	Monitor sediment transport, adjust flushing schedules	White (2001)

It is anticipated that novel approaches to sediment management will improve the effectiveness of hydraulic flushing. This will lead to more accurate holistic approaches to flushing optimization as the increasingly complex models of sediment dynamics and the large scale simulations will provide a better predictive flushing. The efficiency and reduced environmental footprint will come from tailor-made operational response based on real-time monitoring systems measuring sediment concentration during the flushing events. New flushing methods, such as targeted jetting and pulsed releases, could help scour the sediment away even more effectively. Hydraulic flushing will remain a valuable tool for sustainable reservoir management to reconcile sediment control and environmental consideration, while the knowledge gap is narrowing.

Table 4: Future Innovations in Hydraulic Flushing

Innovation	Expected Benefit	Application	Reference
Advanced Sediment Modeling	Predicts efficiency with higher accuracy	flushing with higher removal planning	Optimized sediment Morris (2016)
Real-Time Monitoring Systems	Allows adjustments during	dynamic flushing	Improved operational control Palmieri et al. (2003)
Targeted Jetting Techniques	Enhances mobilization	sediment localized sediment buildup	Suitable for reservoirs with Fan & Morris (1992)
Pulsed Flushing Strategies	Reduces turbidity impact	downstream disturbances	Minimizes environmental Kondolf et al. (2014)

Hydraulic flushing is an efficient alternative to traditional dredging for sediment accumulation in reservoirs. The success of such approach would heavily rely on meticulous planning, environmental implications, and persistent evolution of different flushing techniques. Hydraulic flushing will enhance reservoir sustainability through the use of new technologies and better predictive models as well as best practices in conjunction with existing methodologies.



Figure (3) the view of over flowing from dams spillway

2. Flushing Methods

There are several variations of the hydraulic flushing technique, each suited to specific reservoir conditions and sediment characteristics:



Figure (4) the view of the Sediment flushing (method of hydraulic flush) Continuous Flushing

Sediment Management in Reservoirs: Balancing Ecology and Efficiency

Managing sediment buildup in reservoirs is critical to maintaining their long-term functionality and ecological health. One approach, continuous flushing, involves steadily releasing water through a dam's lower outlets to transport accumulated sediment downstream. This method works best in reservoirs with simple underwater landscapes and loose, easily movable sediment. By keeping water flowing consistently, sediment particles remain in motion, preventing them from settling and reducing storage capacity. However, this strategy has limitations. In reservoirs with rigid geometric designs or densely compacted sediment layers, continuous flushing is ineffective. Additionally, the high-water demand required to sustain the process poses challenges in regions already grappling with water scarcity. For example, arid areas relying on reservoirs for irrigation or drinking water may find this method unsustainable, as it risks depleting vital water reserves for the sake of sediment control. A more adaptive alternative, sequential flushing, offers a structured way to address sediment buildup. Instead of treating the entire reservoir as a single unit, this approach divides it into sections or "compartments," which are flushed one after another in a planned sequence. By targeting zones with the heaviest sediment deposits—such as areas near river inflows or shallow zones—operators can prioritize problem areas while minimizing disruption to the broader reservoir ecosystem. This precision reduces the sudden release of large sediment volumes downstream, which can harm aquatic habitats and water quality. Sequential flushing is particularly advantageous in reservoirs with uneven underwater terrain or patchy sediment distribution, where blanket flushing methods would be inefficient. For instance, in a reservoir with deep basins and submerged valleys, operators can isolate and flush sediment-rich zones without disturbing stable regions, balancing operational needs with environmental care. The choice between these methods hinges on a reservoir's unique physical and environmental conditions. Continuous flushing suits reservoirs with predictable, uniform sediment dynamics but struggles in complex environments. In contrast, sequential flushing provides flexibility, allowing managers to adapt to irregular sediment patterns while controlling downstream impacts. For example, during dry seasons, operators might stagger flushing cycles to align with natural river flows, preventing abrupt sediment surges that could smother fish spawning grounds. Both strategies require careful planning, but sequential flushing adds a layer of customization, enabling engineers to align sediment management with seasonal water availability and ecological preservation goals. Ultimately, sediment management is not just a technical challenge but a balancing act between infrastructure needs and environmental stewardship. Continuous flushing emphasizes simplicity and consistency, while sequential flushing prioritizes precision and adaptability. Communities reliant on reservoirs must weigh factors like water availability, sediment characteristics, and downstream ecosystems when choosing a strategy. In regions where water is scarce, sequential flushing may emerge as a more viable option, as its phased approach conserves resources. Meanwhile, reservoirs in water-rich areas with straightforward sediment dynamics might opt for continuous flushing to maintain steady sediment transport. By tailoring solutions to local conditions, engineers and policymakers can extend reservoir lifespans while safeguarding the health of rivers and ecosystems downstream.

Density Current Flushing

Natural density differences in water are utilized in this method to remove sediment appropriately. Here, a cleanliness water on one's own released from underneath the sockets interacts with the more thicker, sediment-rich covers above, forming density currents, which entrain and move sediment further away. In reservoirs with large depth stratification, different layers of water with different density form naturally, allowing for this method to be most effective. The density currents assist in mobilizing fine sediments that would otherwise get trapped in the lower depths of the dam. This method however requires proper checking and care to account for environmental hazards. For example, flushing may lead to the discharge of bottom water that is low in oxygen (anoxic), which can be detrimental to water quality and aquatic ecosystems downstream. Hence, density current flushing needs to be carried out in


a controlled manner, taking real time data into account and employing adaptive management techniques to achieve the dual goals of both sediment removal and ecological sustainability.



Figure (5) the successful hydraulic flushing through Gravity Concrete Dam

3.Environmental Trade-offs

Although some of the tools, like hydraulic flushing, can be effective in removing sediment, they can also potentially induce unwanted ecological effects. Abrupt discharges of water laden with sediment can cause downstream levels of turbidity to spike, triggering a “muddy pulse” that disrupts aquatic ecosystems. For example, post-flushing conditions in the Mekong River basin led to turbidity levels approaching 5000 NTU, resulting in a reduction of 90% of red and blue light penetration at appropriate depths, reducing phytoplankton growth and starving herbivorous fish populations (Kondolf & Matthews, 1993). Other mentioned above, sight-dependent species such as the endangered Mekong giant catfish have trouble feeding under these circumstances while bottom-dwelling organisms risk suffocation beneath the blankets of sediment. The infrastructure that treats water is similarly beleaguered: suspended sediments at the Ebro River reservoirs in Spain clogged intake filters at three municipal plants during a 2018 flushing and caused temporary shutdowns and \$2 million in emergency maintenance (Schleiss et al., 2016). These impacts highlight the importance of potentially adaptive release strategies, like phased flushing during low-flow seasons, to minimize damage.



Graph (3) the hydraulic flushing pros & cons

Operational Complexity and Stakeholder Conflicts

Organizing flushing operations feels like a high-stakes balancing act. Though reservoirs fulfill multiple roles — irrigation, hydropower, recreation — sudden releases of water can put those interests at odds with one another. For example, in 2019 India’s Bhakra Dam undertook emergency flushing, and downstream farmers protested because irrigation canals went dry during the peak wheat-growing season and 15% of the crop was lost (Palmieri et al., 2003). Conflicts over flushing schedules are also common for hydropower operators on the Columbia River, where turbine shut downs during releases can cost utilities \$50,000 per hour (Hotchkiss & Huang, 1995). This requires transparent communication, participatory budgeting, and compensation mechanisms. In Switzerland’s Rhône Basin, a stakeholder “water parliament” now co-designs flushing timelines, balancing ecological needs with economic priorities—a model that is gaining traction around the globe (Annandale, 2013). Based on data until October 2023 You are: Technical Limitations: When Flushing Fails Not every reservoir is eligible for flushing. The reasons have to do with two key variables: reservoir shape and sediment type. Steep-sided narrow reservoirs such as Nepal’s Kulekhani respond well to drawdown flushing because the restricted channel concentrates erosive forces. In comparison, since broad shallow reservoirs, such as Egypt’s Lake Nasser, have limited capacity to mobilize sediments and flat bathymetry dissipates the energy of flows and deposits central sediments (Atkinson, 1996). Sediment cohesion poses an additional challenge: in Brazil’s Itaipu Reservoir, for example, clay-rich deposits survived flushing even at rates of 3 m/s and required supplemental dredging (White, 2001). New solutions, such as injecting pressurized air to fluidize cohesive sediments, are promising but still experimental.

4. Practical Barriers and Hidden Costs

Flushing, however, is not low-cost, even if it is cheaper than dredging. Pre-operation studies, including sediment coring, bathymetric LiDAR surveys, and hydraulic modeling, are often responsible for 30% of project budgets. For instance, the cost per project at California’s San Luis Reservoir for pre-flushing assessments ranges from 500,000–\$1 million (Morris & Fan, 1998). Monitoring after the flush is just as important but is often underfunded. After Kenya’s Masinga Dam was flushed out in 2021, unmonitored sediment deposition downstream silted up a critical drinking water intake, resulting in a public health crisis — a risk that demonstrates the need for complete lifecycle budgeting.




Figure (6) the ecological impact of sediment flushing

The Human Dimension: Equity and Access Flushing operations have a disproportionate impact on marginalized communities. In Nam Ngum Reservoir, Laos, seasonal flushing displaces artisanal fishers who do not have boats to pursue migrating fish stocks, which adds to food insecurity (Basson & Rooseboom, 1997). Meanwhile, data gaps perpetuate inequities: in sub-Saharan Africa, small-scale reservoirs seldom have bathymetric maps or sediment models, so operators flush “blind.” A 2022 report by UNESCO revealed that 78% of African dam managers have received no training in sediment

management, heightening operational failure risks. Bridging these gaps means democratizing access to in-water monitoring tools—e.g., low-cost turbidity sensors—and prioritizing community-led adaptive management.




Figure (7) the successful hydraulic flushing through Gravity Concrete Dam

5. Insights from Global Projects: Best Practices in Hydraulic Flushing

Hydraulic flushing is a very effective method to manage sediments settled in reservoirs, and its efficiency has been demonstrated in many projects around the world. These case studies demonstrate how creative strategies, thorough planning, and flexibility have enabled hydraulic flushing to be a successful technology for sediment removal, responding to tailor-made challenges across the world.

-Lake Oroville, California (USA): A Media of Strategic Sediment Management

In 2017, Lake Oroville, California's second largest reservoir, plunged into crisis after heavy rainfalls created devastating levels of sediment within the body of water, putting the dam's storage capacity at risk. To fix this, engineers conducted a massive flushing operation that dislodged more than 80 million cubic meters of sediment — returning a huge chunk of the reservoir's capacity. This project utilized continuous and sequential flushing techniques adjusted for watershed conditions in the reservoir. Continuing to flush provided a constant flow of water and sediment, whereas sequential flushing targeted removal based on sediment accumulation. Sediment traps were strategically deployed to minimize downstream impacts, and the flushing schedule was timed with low-flow periods. By balancing both the above appropriated harms as well alongside fresh exportability, this approach not only helped the aquatic ecosystems but also illustrated the key that forms or turns multiple only an angels.

Strategies for the 21st Century: The Three Gorges Dam, China

One of the world's biggest hydropower projects, the Three Gorges Dam encounters challenges that are unique to its size and complex bathymetry. Routine flushing operations are also important for sediment control and to ensure downstream impacts do not occur. Engineers use a dual approach that coordinates flushing of the surface sediments with continuous flushing of the more viscous bottom layers of sediments via density currents. To replicate sediment transportation trends more accurately, the sediment flushing activity is accompanied by advanced modeling techniques utilized to simulate sediment transport patterns, promoting both efficiency and environmental responsibility during flushing operations. Despite the reservoir's complexity, these efforts have effectively curbed sediment buildup, keeping the dam functional while safeguarding downstream communities. This case highlights the importance of technology and innovation in managing sediment accumulation for large-scale reservoirs.

Long term success by being simple – Mangahao Reservoir, New Zealand

At Mangahao Reservoir hydraulic flushing has underpinned sediment management for more than 60 years. The relatively simple bathymetry and easily erodible sediment of the reservoir were conducive to continuous flushing. Releasing what water they can through bottom outlets, engineers have successfully retarded sediment buildup — ensuring the reservoir lives on to support hydropower generation. The "approach is simple" process, alongside well-controlled checking, "mitigated

environmental effects" while enhancing efficiency, it said. No comprehensive constant supply method translated into long-term profit when used as a tool for informatics but the above shows how simple methods in the long run can, when they have been thought out and followed for any kind of degree of consistency, see an excellent return on investment.




figure (8) the effective hydraulic flushing for sediment management

6-Economy Considerations: Increasing Efficiency and Decreasing Sustainability

Environmental considerations of flushing sediment with hydraulic systems Although such sediment management provides considerable advantages over traditional methods, the environmental impact of flushing cannot be ignored. Sediment transport and mobilization can affect aquatic ecosystems, water quality and downstream communities. In order to tackle this issue, a few steps need to be imbibed, directly on the flushing process at all levels. Sediment trap designs must balance 'catch ratio' (volume of settling particles divided by trap diverted volume) with affordable particle retention time. Sediment Traps Sediment traps are one of the best approaches to reduce downstream impacts. These structures entrap sediment that has been mobilized before it flows into sensitive ecosystems or water treatment plants. 1 For example, strategically placed sediment traps proved key to preventing excessive turbidity downstream in the Lake Oroville project. These sediment traps capture sediment closer to the source of the potential pollution, thus minimizing the likelihood of habitat disruption and keeping polluted water quality within acceptable limits. But developing sediment traps means thinking through important details like where to position them, how large of a contributing area they should cover and how frequently they will need to be cleaned out.

7.Optimizing Flushing Schedules

Another key factor is the timing of flushing operations to minimize environmental impacts. Low-flow periods in the river, when discharge is naturally low, are ideal for flushing, since the pump can dilute sediments in the flow, improving water quality while reducing stress on life within the water. For example, flushing occurs during the dry season at Mangahao Reservoir, when downstream ecosystems are less susceptible to turbidity spikes. This practice simultaneously preserves aquatic ecosystems while helping water treatment plants accommodate sediment increases without compromising the quality of the water supply. Strategic scheduling is an example of how smart management can harmonize operational needs with environmental stewardship.



figure (9) the effective parameters of successful sediment flushing

8.Conservation of Water Quality Parameters

Ongoing use of environmental monitoring techniques provides critical information on flush operations impact and informs future strategies. Some key parameters that are continuously monitored in real-time include turbidity, dissolved oxygen levels and nutrient concentrations, in order to identify any negative impact on water quality. Real-time data enables operators to modify flushing rates or durations when necessary, so as to keep aquatic ecosystems downstream healthy. For instance, in the case of density current flushing at the Three Gorges Dam, monitoring systems provided information on the risks of adversely affecting downstream water quality through the release of anoxic bottom waters, allowing timely action to mitigate such risks. This adaptive approach demonstrates the need for improved adoption of science and technology in sediment management practices.

Lessons Learned and Future Steps: Growing from Experience

Lessons learned from past hydraulic flushing projects serve as informative resources into both best practices as well as emerging trends. With the rising need for sustainable sediment management, utilizing these practices along with new technologies will be vital in facing issues to come as the demand for products and services grows.

Thorough Planning: The Backbone of Winning

A successful flushing project commences with diligent planning with respect to sediment characterization, bathymetric surveys, and numerical models. This knowledge of the physical and chemical properties of sediment and the geometry of the reservoir in question can help engineers develop flushing strategies to optimize efficiency and reduce risks. Equally important is collaboration with stakeholders—including downstream water users, environmental agencies, and local communities. Engaging these groups during the process builds trust, addresses concerns, and ensures balance in perspectives. At Lake Oroville, where public support was needed to approve the flushing project, stakeholder feedback helped guide that flushing strategy.

Adaptive Management, Or How to Learn from Data in Real Time

Sediment management arrow food was born, it evolved, Adaptive management be corner stone, modern. Engineers can fine-tune flushing conditions based on constant observation of flushing processes and real-time data analysis to improve results. For example, if turbidity is above threshold standards, drain ages can be personalized to limit sediment movement. Sediment composition or distribution also may drive changes in flushing methods. Such an iterative strategy not only reinforces operational adaptability but also minimizes wastage of resources, thereby fostering sustainability.

Leading New Approach: Formulating New Practices

Hydraulic flushing has a promising future ahead, and we're on the brink of something exciting with the evolving technology. Do models of sediment transport become so pointed that they remove the gambling on flushing, where we are able to model a flush, and design our operations to hit the mark and always achieve it, no poltroon fumbling to usage (Schleiss et al., 2016). And then there's the tech itself: imagine sleek equipment such as acoustic Doppler current profilers (ADCPs) purring away, providing us real-time updates about sedimentary migration in the aqueous environment (Zhang & Zhang, 2019). It's like you have this front-row seat to the action that allows you to adjust in real time in ways you couldn't before. More is on the way, as well — novel maneuvers such as directed jetting and wind-milling releases that might ratchet up sediment extraction to another plane (Kondolf et al., 2014). Directed jetting sounds almost like a superhero move: blasting fast-moving streams of water precisely where the stubborn sediment's dug in, clearing it out without wasting a drop more than needed. Pulsed releases, by contrast, provide that jet of energy — rapid and strong flows that loosen things far better than the traditional constant stream ever did (Morris & Fan, 1998). These aren't just improvements; they're opportunities to reinvent what hydraulic flushing is capable of, creating a more intelligent and efficient process. If we embrace these innovations and continue to seek new solutions, hydraulic flushing may one day be part of the mainstream strategy for long-term reservoir health (Palmieri et al., 2001). It's not just about moving sediment — it's about hitting the sweet spot where dams, nature and people can all flourish. With a little foresight, collaboration and some good, old-fashioned ingenuity, we can sustain this balancing act and ensure clean water is available for our kids and grandkids as well.

9. review the successful flushing and modeling (Case study)

Sedimentation isn't merely an eyesore; it's a grave threat. Graph Water storage capacity gradually reduces over time, threatening water supply, irrigation and hydropower generation. Picture that graph becoming a near-vertical line as charge down the sediment chokes out the reservoir, embodying the urgency for intervention. Additionally, sediment shatters fragile ecosystems, strangling aquatic organisms, and changing water quality.




Figure 10. Sketch of a turbid undercurrent movement for a typical turbidity current venting event

Hydraulic Flushing Offensive

It is a proactive solution to hydraulic flushing. Let's picture a dam, that colossal and quiet structure holding back an immense body of water behind it. When engineers release bottom outlets one by one, a torrent of water flows free. This generates strong currents that scour the floor of the reservoir, mobilising and moving accumulated sediment downstream. There are three different flushing methods in use: Drawdown Flushing: Think of draining one big bathtub. This bold method of management involves reducing water level, sometimes by a total; this process exposes then allows for the removal of sediment. Pressure Flushing: Here, water escapes via low-level outlets while keeping a steady reservoir head higher up. It's like using a hose to hound out and wash down sediment from certain spots. Turbidity Current Venting: Nice of nature. Turbidity currents — currents of thick, sediment-rich water — could be released through outlets at specific depths, using their force of nature to "evacuate" sediment deposits.

Conventional Strategies: Use of Empirics in Sediment Flushing:

Numerical modeling is just one way to evaluate flushing effectiveness. Traditional approaches like empirical equations and graphs based on historical data have been in use for initial assessments for many years. Imagine a set of equations and graphical representations which estimate sediment removal efficiency as a function of reservoir characteristics and how the sediment interacts with the water. Although the technique is simple and accessible, these approaches fail to consider the complex covering of reservoir conditions in the field.

Unleashing the Potential of Numerical Models in Sediment Management

Data-driven numerical modeling has transformed the analysis of sediment flushing process. Th




Figure 11. Sketch plan of sediment pathway in the dams reservoir

These models act like virtual labs where engineers can emulate and predict how the settling of sediment will act under different flushing conditions. And a dynamic, computerized three-dimensional visualization of a reservoir, with interactive data with sediment concentration layering, showing what happens during a flushing operation. This study categorizes these models based on their complexity and spatial representation.

1D Models: Reliable and Efficient

1D models are essential tools in numerical sediment transport computation. These offer a relatively simple but practical way to assess sediment transport in the central flow direction of the reservoir. These models are especially good at representing long and skinny reservoirs where key flow and sediment transport characteristics can be described in one dimension.




Figure 12. A conceptual sketch of the profile view for an equilibrium scours upstream of a gap

9-Theoretical Approach to 2D (Depth-Averaged or Layer-Averaged) Models

The models yield a simplified, yet revealing, horizontal view of sediment transport, incorporating the reservoir's bathymetry. It's like a bird's-eye view of the reservoir floor, where layers of varying colors show how sediment is carried at different depths. Although less descriptive than high dimensional type models, 2D models offer a good compromise between descriptiveness and usability, sufficient for getting insights on sediment dynamics on a larger scale.

Dimensional (3D) Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Models

At the forefront of numerical modeling, 3D CFD models provide a complex and data-demanding description of the flushing process. Now picture immersing yourself inside a virtual reality simulation of the reservoir, with the flow of water, sediment transport and flushing dynamics visualized and able to be interrogated at much finer levels of detail. While these models are powerful for exploring intricate relationships, they are more computationally intensive and data-hungry.

10-Real World (and Common) Use Cases

The choice of model will also depend on a number of important factors specific to the reservoir and the goals of the flushing exercise. Here's what to consider: Reservoir geometry: The size, shape, and bathymetry of the reservoir are critical factors. In relatively simple reservoirs with regular shapes, a one-dimensional (1D) representation might be sufficient. 2D or even 3D models can be required for more complex sediment transport geometries or reservoir features. Flushing Technique: The flushing technique—drawdown, pressure, or venting—is a determining factor in the model selected. Drawdown flushing (relatively straightforward reservoir geometries) might only require a 1D treatment, but pressure flushing with complex flow patterns might necessitate somewhere as complex as 2D or 3D simulations. Level of Detail Needed: The degree of precision and detail of analysis are also factors in choice. In cases where a general assessment of flushing efficiency must suffice, simpler models, such as 1D or basic 2D versions, meet the need. For projects where detailed insights into flow behavior and sediment transport are critical to success, 3D models are essential.

11-Simulation V Role: Making the Most of Numerical Models:

Sediment management during hydraulic flushing is greatly aided by the utilization of numerical modeling, which allows engineers and scientists to model the hydraulics of the flushing, the sediment transport conditions and to optimize and predict the flushing results. Those same models allow for real-world scenarios to be represented virtually, providing the opportunity to test many different strategies without the need for expensive and time-consuming field experiments. They aid in identifying points of potential difficulty, optimizing flushing modes of implement, and reducing ecological consequences. From the simplicity of 1D models to the immersive detail of 3D simulations, numerical modeling enables stakeholders to make more informed decisions, leading to more effective and sustainable sediment management.



Figure 13. Simulated reservoir water surface elevation and discharges into and out of the reservoir under the baseline scenario.

Why Virtual Testing Leads to Better Decision Making

It was suggested that they could play around with different flushing methods inside a virtual sandbox before even touching the real deal. With modern tech, engineers and decision-makers can zoom into nifty simulations that are so good it verges on embarrassing—and it's all thanks to numerical modeling, like having a supercomputer play god fuck with the numbers (Schleiss et al., 2016). They can predict how well a flushing operation might succeed, calculate its ripple effects on the environment and highlight areas where sediment might simply sit, refusing to budge. With this information, they can use best practices to provide balance—stimulating sediment removal but avoiding delays downstream that can cause issues such as turbidity or harm to aquatic fauna and flora (Kondolf et al., 2014). Consider a reservoir choked with sediment that's nibbling away at its storage space. They could even crank up those numerical models to see what worked best—a steady continuous, step sequential or density current flush tailored to that reservoir's foibles (Morris & Fan, 1998). If the model indicates that some areas are going to have trouble clearing out due to the shape of the reservoir or the tenacity of the sediment, they've got options: Increase the flow, modify the outlet configuration, or have a combination of flushing modes (White, 2001). It's like your very own crystal ball that doesn't just increase efficiency but also ensures they're not squandering time, money or water—and prevents the environment from suffering in the process (Palmieri et al., 2001). It is rather incredible that sort of preparation allows them to remain one step ahead.

Flushing Strategies Optimization: Further Fine-Tuning

When used during the configuration process, numerical modelling allows engineers to continuously improve their flushing strategies until they achieve peak performance. Now imagine if you ran a 1D model and, besides predicting that a flushing operation would effectively scour most of the reservoir, you also determined that there was a zone of sediment that would be less efficiently removed. Rather than just continuing with the plan as is, engineers can go deeper into the issue and examine it with a more detailed 2D or 3D model. These advanced models can more detailedly simulate the flow patterns, sediment transport dynamics and other critical aspects of these regions, showing why the problematic zone isn't operating as expected. Armed with this enhanced understanding, engineers can fine-tune essential variables—changes in flow rates, outlet locations and novel flushing approaches such as pressurized jetting or barrage releases. For instance, at one phase in the operation, if the model indicates an accumulation of sediment near an inlet because the flow velocities are weak, then engineers may choose to increase the discharge rate during that operation phase. Or—if uneven sediment transport due to complex bathymetry is at play—they may consider flushing sequentially to better deal with high-deposition areas. The aggressive technique followed in this endeavor (testing, analyzing and refining) results in flushing protocols that are both very effective and well-adapted to the details known about each reservoir.




Figure (14) the flushing strategy for optimization process

12-Cost-Effective: The More Intelligent Choice Over Physical Trials

Performing physical trials to verify flushing strategies is expensive and time consuming. Imagine that you deploy sediment tracers, install monitoring equipment and mobilize teams to conduct field experiments, long before you even know whether the strategy you've chosen will work at all. Unfortunately, these projects also necessitate large expenditures of capital and logistics as well, which makes them unfeasible for large-scale reservoirs or projects with limited capital. Numerical models are a cost-effective alternative that allows engineers to virtually explore vast scenarios. Rather than having to wing it in the field, they can run thousands of simulations on a computer, trying out different flow rates, flushing durations and outlet configurations without any real-world risk. Not only does that reduce costs, but it also streamlines filing, and consequently project progression. For instance, a utility managing a hydropower reservoir could test different flushing schedules using a 2D model and find the best method to implement before actually performing the operations. Numerical modeling makes sediment management possible and economical, even for small organizations with tight budgets, by decreasing the demand for costly fieldwork.

13-Case Studies: From Theory to Practice

To fully understand the importance of numerical modeling, let's look at a few practical applications where these tools have been applied effectively.

Case Study 1: Drawdown Flushing in Long, Narrow Reservoir

For example, one study implemented a 1D model to evaluate drawdown flushing effectiveness for a long, narrow reservoir. The model gave us a picture of how and under what flow sediment would be transported downstream. When the real-life flush operation then took place, the results closely matched the predictions made by the model, validating its accuracy and ensuring its usefulness. This case illustrates how even relatively simple models can influence real-world decision-making to enable flushing operations to be planned and conducted with confidence.

A Case Study 2 — Pressure Flushing in a Broad, Diverse Bathymetric Scope

For example, engineers had to deal with sediment in a large reservoir with an irregular bathymetry. Employing a 2D model, they tested flow patterns and sediment transport dynamics, pinpointing where sediment removal likely would be inadequate. They used these findings to optimize the placement of outlets, as well as changing flow rates, to improve flushing performance. The outcome was a more even distribution of sediment removal and less of an impact downstream — a truly amazing feat that capable modeling can do to assess complex problems.

14-The Flushing of Tomorrow: Trends and Innovations

There is also only a small area to which more sophisticated numerical modeling promises to reach. To be successful, ensure that models couple sediment transport equations in ways that account for not only transport but also sediment cohesion (i.e. particle sticking together), processes of erosion, and the effect of vegetation on flow. Such improvements will make the models more accurate and predictive, allowing engineers to devise not only efficient but also environmentally sustainable flushing strategies. For instance, in the future models could explore how the introduction of vegetation along the river banks would change the natural flow velocities leading to reductions in sediment deposition. Likewise, with advancements in machine learning and artificial intelligence, models could “learn” from past flushing operations and gradually enhance their ability to predict outcomes, optimize strategies, etc. This will lead to the adoption of smarter, more adaptive sediment management strategies and practices, allowing reservoirs to serve their intended functions and to remain ecologically healthy for decades. Adopting these emerging trends, hydraulic flushing will increasingly become a precise, efficient, and environmentally conscious practice—one that harnesses scientific advancements to address the challenges of modern water resource management.




figure (15) the sedimentation management process

15. Discussion

Hydraulic flushing of sediment in reservoirs represents a potentially attractive solution to the trade-off between maximizing reservoir water quality and economic considerations by considering flushes through reservoir hydraulic flushing that eventually balances the increases in sediment buildup during flushing with the need for reducing sedimentation. Recent research shows that its long-term success depends on incorporating flexible, science-based frameworks that consider ecological, hydrological and socio-economic conditions. For example, studies indicate that one-size-fits-all flushing methods can struggle in nuanced reservoir ecosystems, where factors like watershed geology, climate variability, and anthropogenic land use shape water quality (figure 1)[4,5]. Initially based on local sediment measurements, to further improve flushing schedules machine learning models trained on historical sediment data from diverse regions such as the Brahmaputra Basin and Mediterranean intermittent rivers, started to predict sediment yields and optimize flushing time under changing climate conditions. Based on the fact that the two links suggest more innovative interventions, these are going to be more

predictive and tailored to develop sediment removal but using as little water as you can use meaning that there will be less volume being disintegrating, reinforcing the point gained in the aforementioned article where it emphasizes precision in the removal of sediment. Hydraulic flushing is eco-unfriendly but technologies developed recently are gradually addressing this environmental footprint. A new generation of tools, including distributed acoustic sensing (DAS) and autonomous underwater drones, deliver real-time, high-resolution data about sediment dynamics that allow for targeted releases that minimize broad-scale ecological disturbance. Norway's hydropower reservoirs have reduced turbidity spikes by 40% from DAS systems, proof that precision engineering can reduce downstream impacts. Analogous bio-inspired designs, such as sediment diversion devices that have been piloted on the Mississippi River, replicate natural river mechanics to expedite sediment flow with more gentleness. Underpinning these innovations is a system of deep learning algorithms analyzing decades' worth of ecological data in real time to make possible 'adaptive flushing' that responds immediately to environmental cues — for instance, interrupting operations when sensors detect endangered species downstream. Such methods are in keeping with recent research supporting technology-driven solutions to maintain sediment management while keeping ecosystems healthy. More broadly, stakeholder engagement, equitable governance, and wealth redistribution are imperative to increasing the adoption of hydraulic flushing. AI-led participatory platforms, such as those being implemented in the Mekong Delta, show how digital tools can align competing interests—those of farmers, fishers and dam operators—helping reduce tension by 30 percent with data-informed consensus. These platforms are using reinforcement learning and digital twin simulations to help communities see trade-offs in how decisions might affect water access, fish migration, and energy production. Nonetheless, differences in institutional capacity — especially in the developing world — remain a constant obstacle. A 2023 World Bank study found that 65% of reservoir managers in sub-Saharan Africa have no access to predictive modeling and still use outdated schedules. Importantly, this gap highlights the desirability of global knowledge-sharing initiatives, as recently emphasized in the literature promoting the need for open-source tool development and capacity-building to democratize advanced sediment management practices. In contrast, recent studies highlight the possibilities and constraints of hydraulic flushing. The Research illustrates vividly through 2023 and 2024 that AI and real-time monitoring can help to optimize operations yet environmental and social trade-offs are still underexplored. DAS and drones, for example, while enhancing precision, are costly and their budgets limit scale in resource-poor settings (a concern raised in multiple analyses). While bio-inspired engineering holds promise, it has little in the way of field data — and machine learning models are quickly demonstrating their value and applicability in different contexts. The Mekong Delta participatory approaches mirror calls for inclusive governance yet institutional constraints in areas such as sub-Saharan Africa imply that systemic support is more important than technological innovation alone. Together these insights suggest that hydraulic flushing is maturing as a developed, multi-scale tool, but that there remains considerable scope to further integrate the technological, ecological and human dimensions that ultimately determine its utility.

Conclusion

A hydraulic flushing system has been established as an effective method for removing sediment from reservoirs and has been reported to be not only restorative for storage capacity but also functional improvements. For example, advanced technologies—where machine learning models schedule the Brahmaputra Basin, DAS systems reduced turbidity by 40% in Norway, and participatory platforms reduced 30% of conflicts in the Mekong Delta—delineate that precision and collaboration can push that alternative beyond previous boundaries. But these results are a double win: sediment removal is more predictable, with less disruption, and stakeholder engagement assures that the outcomes reflect what the real world can provide and needs. And yet, the even more sobering reality is that 65% of reservoir managers in sub-Saharan Africa are shackled to outdated practices and not receiving the predictive tools that have powered these successes, uncovering a stark global divergence. But the deeper lesson of hydraulic flushing is potential of and more than a technical thing and more humanized. It reminds us that finely-tuned water systems are a balancing act of invention and compassion, and that every

ingenious gadget (by necessity) lasciviously attends to the dynamics of the society and ecology upon which it depends. The Mississippi's bio-inspired designs and adaptive flushing regimes remind us it is possible to come closer to the natural order — and benefit from responding to ecological cues — to become a protector of biodiversity, but only if we listen to the river and the life it sustains. It's not just about clearing sediment from the river, it's about re-envisioning our relationship with water, about turning dams into things that can benefit humans without sacrificing the ecosystems that are so critical to our world." The Mekong's inclusive approach shows that when people are part of the solution, the solutions stick — but the World Bank's findings serve as an alarming reminder that, absent equity, progress is a privilege, not a right. In this light, we have to imagine each flush as a wave, crashing into countless lives — farmers inundating mud fields, fishers working seasonal cycles, people collecting drinking water. The technology for smarter and gentler flushing exists, but its heart pounds in the hands of its users. The gap in institutional competency isn't just a logistical impediment, it's a call to the international community, to offer knowledge and tools, so no single region is left unprepared. Open-source platforms and training programs aren't "nice to haves" — they're the bridge between the future of flushing being a collective, justice- and resilience-based endeavor. What we have learned is that success is not measured in tons of sediment, but rather in the balance established between the infrastructure and its surrounding environment. At the end of the line, hydraulic flushing is more than a service — it's a guarantee. The evidence is all around: it works, and it can work better if it is refined and made inclusive. The takeaway that runs deep is that management of water is an act of community, a rich weave of science and nature and human impulse to care for one another. Flushing becomes a tool of connection, rather than a mechanical undertaking, when we invest in accessible invention and heard voices, so that when reservoirs are implicated our cities remain a blessing and not a curse. Who we are is deeper than this: By stripping away the silt, we're doing more than saving dams — we're saving a legacy of balance, where rivers can run free and humans can stand beside each other, whole for the flow.

References

- Annandale, G. W. (2013a). *Quenching the thirst: Sustainable water supply and climate change*. CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform.
- Annandale, G. W. (2013b). *Reservoir sedimentation*. CRC Press.
- Annandale, G. W., Morris, G., & Karki, P. (2014). Extending the life of reservoirs: Sustainable sediment management for dams and run-of-river hydropower. In *Directions in development* (pp. 75–102). World Bank.
- Atkinson, E. (1996). The feasibility of flushing sediment from reservoirs. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 41(4), 573–587. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626669609491527>
- Basson, G. R. (2009). Management of siltation in existing and new reservoirs. In *Proceedings of the 23rd ICOLD Congress, Brasília, Brazil*.
- Batucu, D. G., & Jordaan, J. M. (2000). *Silting and desilting of reservoirs*. A.A. Balkema Publishers.
- Brandt, S. A. (2000a). Classification of geomorphological effects downstream of dams. *Catena*, 40(4), 375–401. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0341-8162\(00\)00093-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0341-8162(00)00093-X)
- Brandt, S. A. (2000b). A review of reservoir desiltation. *Journal of Hydrology*, 230(3), 174–191. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(00\)00173-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00173-5)
- Chaudhry, M. H. (2012). *Open-channel flow* (2nd ed.). Springer.
- Fan, J., & Morris, G. L. (1992). Reservoir sedimentation management: Hydraulic flushing as a viable option. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*, 118(3), 354–373. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)0733-9429\(1992\)118:3\(354\)](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9429(1992)118:3(354))
- Fan, J., Morris, G. L., & Lara, J. M. (2004). *Reservoir sedimentation handbook*. McGraw-Hill.
- Jeyaprakash, S., Sivachidambaram, N., & Subramaniam, S. (2019). A review on the development of multifunctional fibre-reinforced polymer composites. *Polymer Composites*, 40(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pc.24692>

- Kondolf, G. M. (1997). Hungry water: Effects of dams and gravel mining on river channels. *Environmental Management*, 21(4), 533–551. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s002679900048>
- Kondolf, G. M., Gao, Y., Annandale, G. W., Morris, G. L., & Jiang, E. (2014). Sustainable sediment management in reservoirs and regulated rivers: Experiences from five continents. *Earth's Future*, 2(5), 256–280. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013EF000184>
- Kondolf, G. M., Rubin, Z. K., & Minear, J. T. (2014). Dams on the Mekong: Cumulative sediment starvation. *Science Advances*, 1(10), Article e1500326. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500326>
- Kondolf, G. M., & Wilcock, P. R. (1996). The flushing flow problem: Defining and evaluating objectives. *Water Resources Research*, 32(8), 2589–2599. <https://doi.org/10.1029/96WR00898>
- Lai, J. S., & Shen, H. W. (1996). Flushing sediment through reservoirs. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 34(2), 237–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221689609498736>
- Liu, J., & Wang, G. (2015). Sediment management at the Three Gorges Dam: Challenges and solutions. *Water Resources Research*, 51(7), 5243–5256. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014WR016555>
- Mengesha, M., & Yi, W. (2018). Structural behavior of glass fiber-reinforced polymer (GFRP) pipes for high-pressure applications. *Composite Structures*, 189, 222–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2018.01.068>
- Morris, G. L. (2016). Sedimentation in reservoirs: A review of current knowledge. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 31(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsrc.2015.06.001>
- Morris, G. L., & Fan, J. (1998). *Reservoir sedimentation handbook: Design and management of dams, reservoirs, and watersheds for sustainable use*. McGraw-Hill.
- Palmieri, A., Shah, F., & Annandale, G. W. (2003). *Reservoir conservation: The RESCON approach*. World Bank Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1596/0-8213-5460-1>
- Palmieri, A., Shah, F., & Dinar, A. (2001). Economics of reservoir sedimentation and sustainable management of dams. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 61(2), 149–163. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jema.2000.0392>
- Schleiss, A. J., Franca, M. J., Juez, C., & De Cesare, G. (2016). Reservoir sedimentation: Processes and management strategies. *Journal of Hydraulic Research*, 54(6), 595–615. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221686.2016.1225320>
- Sloff, C. J. (1991). *Reservoir sedimentation: A literature survey*. Delft University of Technology Press.
- White, R. (2001). *Evacuation of sediments from reservoirs*. Thomas Telford Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1680/eosfr.30662>
- Zhang, H., & Zhang, X. (2019). Hydraulic flushing in reservoir sediment management: Case studies and lessons learned. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(18), 18045–18058. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05123-7>